

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.nspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/118,359 07/17/1998		J. DENNIS KELLER	MI22-587 8927		
21567 WELLS ST	7590 01/28/2003 . JOHN ROBERTS GR	EXAMINER			
601 W. FIRST AVENUE SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201-3828			ESTRADA, MICHELLE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2823		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>			· vn				
1		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/118,35	9	KELLER ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit	<u> </u>				
	The MAN WORLD	Michelle E		2823					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
THE I - External form of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIC mains of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no eve . I reply within the statu riod will apply and will alute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from action to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	r. mmunication.				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	29 October 200	<u>2</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is r	non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-14,25-31 and 41-71</u> is/are pend	ling in the appli	cation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-14,25-31 and 41-71</u> is/are rejected.								
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election re	quirement						
	on Papers		4						
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.							
10) 🔲 🗆	rhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ad	ccepted or b)	bjected to by the Exar	niner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Offi	ce action.						
12) 🔲 🛭	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		,	, , ,					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been	received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			•		li4! \				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment			77 5.5.5. 33 120						
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5		(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTO					
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		Action Summary		Part of P	aper No. 30				

Application/Control Number: 09/118,359

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2, 7, 9, 41, 42, 51-57, 62, 63, 66, 68 and 69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Araki et al.

The rejection is applied as stated in the office action mailed 2/12/02.

Araki et al. disclose forming source and drain regions laterally proximate the floating gate (Col. 4, lines 63-67).

11

Claim R jections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-6, 8, 10-14, 25-31, 43-50, 58-61, 64, 65, 67 and 70-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Araki et al. as applied to claims 1, 2, 7, 9, 41, 42, 51-57, 62, 63, 66, 68 and 69 above, and further in view of the stated examiner's comments.

The rejection is applied as stated in the office action mailed 2/12/02.

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument A in page 22 that Araki et al. does not disclose forming a transistor, applicant is directed to the Office Action mailed 7/26/02, page 3. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., transistor) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Furthermore, the reference discloses forming a transistor by implication through disclosure of "floating gate" and explicitly at Col. 4, lines 63-67.

Application/Control Number: 09/118,359

Art Unit: 2823

Page 4

In the office action mailed 7/26/02 arguments that the invention of claims

1,2,7,9,41,42,51-57,62,63,66 and 68 is not anticipated by Arkai et al., the examiner has

modified a reference in a rejection under 35 USC 102(e), ignored claim limitations and

applicant's remaining arguments are fully addressed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michelle Estrada whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0729. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 703-306-2794. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722

for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

George Fourson
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2823

MÉstrada

January 27, 2003